

Don Selvy Enterprises, Inc. (DSE)

ADMINISTRATIVE INQUIRY (AI) PROCESS

August 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INT	rodu	ICTION	1
	1.1	Scope	9	1
2.	PR	ELIMIN	NARY INQUIRY AND INITIAL REPORT	2
	2.1	Securi	ity Violations	2
	2.2	Condu	ucting Preliminary Inquiry	2
	2.3	Initial I	Report	2
	2.3	3.1 7	Timeline for Initial Report	2
	2.4	Requir	rements for Initial Report	2
3.	FIN	IAL RE	PORT	4
	3.1	Timelii	ine for Final Report	4
	3.2	Requir	rements for Final Report	4
	3.2	2.1 A	Authority	4
	3.2	2.2 E	Essential Facts	4
	3.2	2.3	Corrective Actions	5
	3.2	2.4	Conclusions	6
	3.2	2.5 E	Determination of Culpability	6
4.	SP	ECIAL	CONSIDERATIONS FOR INVESTIGATIONS INVOLVING INFORMATION SYSTEMS	8
A	PPEN	NDIX A:	: ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND DEFINITIONSA	∖-1
ΔΙ	DDEN	IDIY B.	· FINAL PEPORT TEMPLATE	≀_1

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to provide instructions for conducting an Administrative Inquiry (AI). Included in this reference are the guidelines for conducting investigations and submitting the initial and final reports.

1.1 Scope

The procedures defined in this document are applicable to all personnel tasked with industrial security for programs requiring access to classified materials, systems, and information. These personnel include, but are not limited to, the Facility Security Officer (FSO). As requirements dictate, additional positions to include Information Systems Security Manager (ISSM) are incorporated coincident with assumption of security responsibilities.

2. PRELIMINARY INQUIRY AND INITIAL REPORT

2.1 Security Violations

The 32 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 117, "National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual," (formerly the DoD 5220.22-M National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM)), defines a security violation as a failure to comply with the policy and procedures established by the NISPOM that reasonably could result in the loss or compromise of classified information.

Security violations involving classified information must be appropriately investigated. An investigation, or AI, is necessary to determine whether the classified information was at risk of compromise, the individual(s) responsible for the violation, and whether appropriate corrective actions have been implemented to preclude a recurrence.

Refer to 32 CFR Part 117, "National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual," for additional information.

2.2 Conducting Preliminary Inquiry

When a security violation occurs, the FSO is responsible for conducting the preliminary inquiry. The purpose of the preliminary inquiry is to secure the classified information, quickly gather all the facts, and determine if the classified information was subject to loss, compromise, or suspected compromise.

2.3 Initial Report

Upon completion of the preliminary inquiry, the FSO must determine if the security violation warrants further investigation. If the preliminary inquiry indicated a possible loss, compromise, or suspected compromise, the FSO must document the findings in an initial report and provide notification to the Cognizant Security Agency (CSA)/Defense Counterintelligence Security Agency (DCSA) field office. If the facility is located on a Government installation, the initial report must be submitted concurrently to the Commander or Head of the host installation. Under certain circumstances, the DCSA Industrial Security Representative may conduct the follow-on administrative inquiry based on the reported facts in the preliminary report.

If the preliminary inquiry indicated that there is no loss, compromise, or suspected compromise of classified information, the FSO shall finalize the report and maintain a copy. This report will be reviewed by DCSA during the next Industrial Security Inspection.

2.3.1 Timeline for Initial Report

Submission of the initial report must adhere to the following guidelines:

- Top Secret: within 24-hours (1-day)
- Secret/Confidential: within 72-hours (3-days)

2.4 Requirements for Initial Report

The initial report should include the following information:

- The nature of the security violation. Include a description of the circumstances surrounding the violation, the relevant sections of the NISPOM that were violated, who was involved, and when and where the violation occurred. Include the level and type of personnel clearance of the individuals involved in the occurrence.
 - How the violation was discovered?
 - Who reported the security violation?
 - To who was the violation reported?
- When was the violation reported? Include if the violation was reported immediately upon discovery. If not, include why there was a delay in the report.
- **Identify all involved classified information.** Include a listing of all materials with the following:

- Unclassified Title
- Form
- Originator
- Prime Contract Number
- Procurement Activity (Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO)/Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO)), include Point of Contact (POC) information.
- Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR), include POC information.
- Facility name and CAGE code, if information received from a Prime/Subcontracting organization.
- Level of Classification
- Special Access category, as applicable
- Identify the Government Contracting Activity (GCA) with cognizance over the classified information. Include GCA POC information with the following:
 - Name
 - Title
 - Address
 - Telephone Number
 - Email Address

NOTE: Depending on circumstances surrounding the security violation, it is possible that the initial report may be classified. Refer to **ISL2006-02**, **Article #5** or contact the relevant DCSA Industrial Security Representative (IS Rep) for additional information.

3. FINAL REPORT

Upon completion of the administrative inquiry or investigation, the FSO must submit a final report regarding the identified security violation. A template is provided for the final report in **Appendix B.** (Final Report Template) of this document. The following subsections follow the organization of this template.

Refer to 32 CFR Part 117 Section 117.8(d) for additional information.

3.1 Timeline for Final Report

Submission of the final report must adhere to the following guidelines:

Top Secret/Secret/Confidential: within 15-days of discovery

3.2 Requirements for Final Report

The final report presents a summary of the administrative inquiry and includes information used to arrive at a specific determination (loss, compromise, suspected compromise). This report must include specific reasons for reaching a determination. Per NISPOM requirements, the only determinations that are applicable are loss, compromise, or suspected compromise. The final report must include this formal determination along with specific supporting documentation that aligns to the definitions below.

• Loss: A loss involves classified information that is or was outside the custodian's control and the classified information cannot be located or its disposition cannot be determined.

NOTE: Classified information sent via unencrypted communication is considered a loss.

- **Compromise:** A compromise is a confirmed disclosure of specifically identifiable classified information to specified unauthorized individuals(s).
- Suspected Compromise: A suspected compromise occurs whenever identifiable classified information has been made available to unauthorized individual(s) who may have gained access to the information. Providing that there was unauthorized access to the information may be difficult, but the facts in cases of "Suspected Compromise" would lead a reasonable person to conclude that unauthorized access, more than likely, occurred.

No Loss, Compromise, or Suspected Compromise

The final report should also include the essential facts surrounding the violation, the corrective actions taken to safeguard the classified information, the disciplinary actions taken against the culpable individual(s) involved in the security violation, and any culpability notifications that were sent to the Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office (DISCO). Conclusions and recommendations for follow-up actions should also be addressed in the final report.

Refer to Section 2.4 Initial Report of this document for additional information.

3.2.1 Authority

This heading provides the reason why the inquiry was conducted, when and where the inquiry was conducted, and identifies who conducted the inquiry.

3.2.2 Essential Facts

The final report should include a description of the circumstances surrounding the violation, the relevant sections of the NISPOM that were violated, who was involved, and when and where the violation occurred. Also, the report should provide the level and type of personnel clearance of the individuals involved in the occurrence.

• When was the violation reported? Include who discovered the violation, who reported the violation, and to whom it was reported. Include if the violation was reported immediately upon discovery. If not, include why there was a delay in the report.

- Description of unauthorized access.
 - How was the access achieved, and by whom?
 - Was the information distributed?
 - If so, to whom and how?
- Identify specific NISPOM provisions violated.
- Identify all involved classified information. Include a listing of all materials with the following:
 - Unclassified Title
 - Type of Material
 - Originator
 - Prime Contract Number
 - Procurement Activity (PCO)/ACO), include POC information.
 - COTR, include POC information.
 - Facility name and CAGE code, if information received from a Prime/Subcontracting organization.
 - Level of Classification
 - Special Access category, as applicable

3.2.3 Corrective Actions

The final report should include a summary of the corrective actions taken by the facility. This should include any disciplinary actions taken against the culpable individual(s) involved in the security violation, and specifically, the corrective actions initiated or taken by the facility to secure the information after the violation was discovered. Included in this summary should be a description of the graduated scale of disciplinary actions, as established by the DSE Manual of Employee policies, and how it was applied to this event.

Refer to 32 CFR Part 117 Section 117.8(d) for additional information. The

summary of corrective actions should include the following information:

- Reaction to security violation. Include a description of all actions taken/initiated by the
 organization in reaction to the security violation. Include time and date, responsible
 personnel, and future actions planned.
- Have all required follow-up actions been taken?
 - If yes, include description, time and date, responsible personnel, and plans for continuing action.
 - If no, provide the outstanding action and reasons they remain pending.
- Notification of all involved facilities and personnel. If applicable, provide date, POC information for notification, and summary of required actions identified as a result of security violation.
- Provisions for additional security training. Provide a summary of all additional security training to be provided to personnel, including schedule, title, description, and impacted personnel.
- Description of graduated scale of disciplinary actions. Include brief summary of graduated scale with the following:

- Application of these corrective actions to each individual involved in the violation implementation of corrective actions.
- Has the GCA been notified of the security violation?
 - If yes, identify the Individual who notified the GCA
 - If no, identify the reasons why notification was not made
- Has the classification level of the material been confirmed by the GCA?
 - If yes, identify who made the determination.
 - If no, identify what attempts have been made to obtain classification guidance from the GCA.

3.2.4 Conclusions

The FSO must provide a formal determination for each security violation as previously identified (loss, compromise, suspected compromise)

NOTE: If the FSO concludes from the preliminary inquiry that no loss, compromise, or suspected compromise of classified information occurred, the FSO must finalize the report, document the conclusion, and retain the report for the next DCSA Industrial Security Inspection.

Exceptions to this requirement can be found in 32 CFR Part 117, International Security Requirements, sections 117.19(c)(12), 117.19(h), and 117.19(g)(19).

- Vulnerability of classified information. Include a description of when the vulnerability began, duration, and under what circumstances the information was vulnerable to unauthorized disclosure.
- Description of unauthorized access. If the information was accessed by unauthorized individuals, include a description of how the access was achieved, and provide, as completely as possible, identification data regarding the unauthorized individual(s).

NOTE: If the unauthorized access involved use of an Information System (IS), either accredited or non-accredited, refer to **Section 4. Special Considerations for Investigations Involving Information Systems** of this document.

- **Description of GCA Classification Review.** As applicable, include a description of the GCA classification review of the information with the following:
 - Was a GCA classification review conducted?
 - If the information was declassified or downgraded, include date of determination, name and POC information of individual who made determination, and GCA notification of declassification.
 - If the information was downgraded, include new level of classification.
 - If the information cannot be declassified or downgraded, include measures, if known, that have been initiated/taken to protect against threat to national security.

3.2.5 Determination of Culpability

The determination of culpability summarizes the procedures followed to investigate the individual(s) involved in the security violation.

The FSO should interview the relevant co-workers and management of the involved individual(s), to determine if there were any indicators of intent for security violations, or concerns regarding the individual's ability to protect classified information. When appropriate, investigations may also include a search of the individual(s) workspace and any applicable accesses to computer systems, such as email, shared drives, and cellular communications.

Refer to Section 4. Special Considerations for Investigations Involving Information Systems of this document.

The Culpability Report should address the following information:

• Does the violation meet the criteria of 32 CFR Part 117 Section 117.8(e)? The violation and individual(s)

involved should be evaluated against the following questions.

- Did the violation involve a deliberate disregard for established security requirements?
- Did the violation involve gross negligence in the handling of classified information?
- Was the violation deliberate in nature?
- If the violation was not deliberate, does the individual(s) exhibit a pattern of negligence and/or carelessness in the handling of classified information?

NOTE: If the individual(s) involved in the security violation meet the criteria, as stated above, a report must be sent to DCSA via the Defense Information System for Security (DISS), following coordination with the IS Rep.

- The individual(s) involved in the violation. Include the following:
 - Name(s)
 - Title/Position
 - Social Security Number
 - Date of Birth
 - Place of Birth
 - Level of Classification
 - Special Access Permissions, as applicable
- **Description of individual actions.** Include a summary of how each involved individual acted with regards to the violation with the following:
 - Was the employee aware of violation of security guidelines and policies?
 - What was the intent of acting in a manner that violated security guidelines and policies?
 - Identify all classified programs to which the individual(s) had access.
 - Did any of the programs and/or systems accessed by the individual(s) have foreign involvement?
 - Previous history of foreign travel, in both business and personal capacities.
 - Associations with foreign visitors, in both business and personal capacities.
- Awareness of NISPOM and associated security guidelines, policies, and provisions.
 Include a summary of the individual(s) perceived knowledge and comprehension of NISPOM and associated provisions with the following:
 - Was the employee aware of the violation of security guidelines and policies?
 - What security briefings, training and/or certifications has the employee received related to security of classified information? Include dates, title, description, and issuing authority of identified security briefings, training and certifications.
- Has Personnel Security Management Office-Industry (PSMO-I) been notified?

4.	SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR INVESTIGATIONS INVOLVING INFORMATION SYSTEMS
-7.	Not applicable. DSE does not maintain any classified or sensitive information systems.
	rect applicable. Bot account any classified of content of mornial of cycleme.

APPENDIX A: ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND DEFINITIONS

Acronym/ Abbreviation	Definition
ACO	Administrative Contracting Officer
Al	Administrative Inquiry
CDSE	Center for Development of Security Excellence
COTR	Contracting Officer's Technical Representative
CSA	Cognizant Security Agency
DCSA	Defense Counterintelligence Security Agency
DISS	Defense Information System for Security
DoD	Department of Defense
FSO	Facility Security Officer
GCA	Government Contracting Activity
INFOSEC	Information Systems Security
IS	Information System
IS Rep	Industrial Security Representative
ISSM	Information Systems Security Manager
IT	Information Technology
NISPOM	National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual
PCO	Procuring Contracting Officer
POC	Point of Contact
SME	Subject Matter Expert
VPN	Virtual Private Network

APPENDIX B: FINAL REPORT TEMPLATE

	AFFENDIA B. FINAL REPORT TEMPLATE				
DA	TE:				
SUBJECT [Line]: Facility Information					
	Name:				
	Address:				
	CAGE Code:				
	FCL Level:				
	Level of Facility Safeguarding:				
	Special Considerations:				
1.	AUTHORITY: Provide authority under which conducting the inquiry, the reason for the inquiry, when and where it was conducted, and who conducted the inquiry.				
2.	ESSENTIAL FACTS: Provide description of the circumstances surrounding the violation, the relevant sections of the NISPOM that were violated, who was involved, and when and where the violation occurred. Include the level and type of personnel clearance of the individuals involved in the occurrence.				
3.	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: Provide summary of the corrective actions taken against the individual(s) involved in the security violation, and the actions initiated or taken by the facility to secure the information after the violation. Include a description of the graduated scale of disciplinary actions, as established by the organization.				
4.	CONCLUSIONS: Define the security violation as a Loss, Compromise, Suspected Compromise, or No Loss, Compromise, or Suspected Compromise. Include vulnerability of information, description of unauthorized access, and description of GCA classification review.				
5.	DETERMINATION OF CULPABILITY: Provide summary of procedures to investigate individual(s) involved in security violation, including personnel information for individual(s), description of actions, relevant criteria from 32 CFR Part 117 Section 117.8(e0), and individual(s)' perceived knowledge of NISPOM and associated security procedures and policies.				
6.	RECOMMENDATIONS				
7.	FOLLOW-UP				
SIC	SIGNATURE [Line]:				
	Position/Title:				
	Facility:				
	CC: (as applicable)				